From: Noviss, Adrian To: Aguind Interconnector Kasseean, Anita Cc:

AQUIND (EN020022) DEADLINE 1 - BLAKE MORGAN LLP - RESPONSES TO Exa"s FIRST WRITTEN QUESTIONS (Exo1) [BMG-LEGAL.FID44973420] Subject:

Date: 06 October 2020 23:17:34

Attachments: Blake Morgan LLP - Deadline 1 - Responses to first written questions - 6 October 2020 (Blake Morgan LLP).PDF

Dear Sirs

Application by AQUIND Limited for an Order granting Development Consent for the AQUIND Interconnector Project (PINS

Responses to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions submitted by Blake Morgan LLP

Submitted in relation to Deadline 1 of the Examination Timetable

We refer to the Examining Authority's (ExA's) first written questions (ExQ1) in relation to Aquind Limited's application for a DCO for the Aquind Interconnector Project.

We attach our responses to the questions that were directed to Blake Morgan LLP.

Kind regards.

Adrian Noviss

Associate

For and on behalf of Blake Morgan LLP

Read the firm's COVID information page here: https://www.blakemorgan.co.uk/covid-19/

Find information on our Planning team here: https://www.blakemorgan.co.uk/service/planning-lawyers/

Read the team's latest Planning Blog here: https://www.blakemorgan.co.uk/planning-applications-during-covid-19-avoid-constitutional-



BLAKE

DDI: 023 8085 7431

F: 0844 620 3401

E: Adrian.Noviss@blakemorgan.co.uk

New Kings Court, Tollgate, Changler's Ford, Eastleigh, Hampshire SO53 3LG

+44 (0) 23 8090 8090

DX 155850 Eastleigh 7

www.blakemorgan.co.uk

If you are due to meet any member of the firm in person, please contact them so that they can make alternative arrangements, where appropriate. We hope that you understand the need for this precautionary measure due to the developing COVID-19 situation and thank you for your assistance.

We are here to help and support you during this difficult time. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to get in touch at any time or access our coronavirus guidance

CYBERCRIME ALERT: E-mail and other forms of non-face to face communication enable individuals to easily disguise their true identity, often for dishonest purposes

Do not assume a telephone call, a text or an email is genuine. Unless you are absolutely sure of the identity of the person communicating with you – particularly where instructions or requests concerning money are made - you should independently verify it. If you receive a request purporting to come from Blake Morgan asking you to send monies to a bank account that is different from the one that we have told you of, it is likely to be fraudulent. Please speak to us to confirm bank details supplied before transferring any money. Blake Morgan cannot be held responsible if you transfer money into an incorrect account.

The contents of this e-mail are not intended to create any contract between the parties and insofar as the terms of any arrangements or agreement between the parties, any offer being made or the acceptance of any offer made by any other party are contained in this e-mail and/or any signature on this e-mail (typed, hand written or otherwise) then such e-mail is not intended to create a legally binding relationship unless the specific contrary intention is stated in the body of the e-mail.

Blake Morgan LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales under registered number OC392078 whose registered office is at New Kings Court, Tollgate, Chandler's Ford, Eastleigh, Hampshire, SO53 3LG. It is authorised and regulated by the Solicitors Regulation Authority whose rules can be accessed via www.sra.org.uk.

This email and any attachments are confidential, legally privileged and protected by copyright. If you are not the intended recipient, dissemination or copying of this email is prohibited. If you have received this in error, please notify the sender by replying by email and then delete the email completely from your system.

Where the content of this email is personal or otherwise unconnected with the firm's or its clients' business, Blake Morgan LLP accepts no responsibility or liability for such content.

Internet email may be susceptible to data corruption, interception and unauthorised amendment over which we have no control. Whilst sweeping all outgoing email for viruses, we do not accept liability for the presence of any computer viruses in this email or any losses caused as a result of viruses.

A full list of our members is available at all our offices. The term "partner" refers to a member of Blake Morgan LLP.

Personal information that we obtain or hold about individuals is processed in accordance with our Privacy Policy

Date: 6 October 2020

Aquind Interconnector application for a Development Consent Order for the 'Aquind Interconnector' between Great Britain and France (PINS reference: EN020022)

Blake Morgan LLP's Responses to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions (EXQ1)

Submitted in relation to Deadline 1 of the Examination Timetable



Application by Aquind Limited for a Development Consent Order for the 'Aquind Interconnector' between Great Britain and France (PINS reference: EN020022)

Blake Morgan LLP's Responses to the Examining Authority's First Written Questions (EXQ1)

Submitted in relation to Deadline 1 of the Examination Timetable – 6 OCTOBER 2020

EXAMINING AUTHORITY'S FIRST WRITTEN QUESTIONS (EXQ1) RELATING TO COMPULSORY ACQUISITION:

Reference	Respondent	Questions	Response
CA1.3.80	Blake Morgan LLP on behalf of The Owners of Little Denmead Farm	Who are the owners of Little Denmead Farm who are represented ([R-055] refers.)	The owners of Little Denmead Farm are Mr Geoffrey Carpenter and Mr Peter Carpenter. They both jointly own the freehold interest to Little Denmead Farm, which is registered at HM Land Registry under title number HP763097. A copy of the Official copy of Register of Title and of the title plan has been provided as part of our Client's written representations, which we have submitted on their behalf in relation to Deadline 1 of the Examination timetable.
CA1.3.87	Blake Morgan LLP on behalf of The Owners of Little Denmead Farm	Peter and Geoffrey Carpenter appear to be represented by both yourselves and Ian Judd and Partners ([RR-055] and [RR-168]). Is this the case? If so, should these Relevant Representations be taken as one. If not, what are the differences between the Relevant Representations?	Blake Morgan LLP are the solicitors acting for Mr. Peter Carpenter and for Mr Geoffrey Carpenter in relation to their interest in Little Denmead Farm only. Ian Judd & Partners are land agents and are advising Peter and Geoffrey Carpenter in relation to their land valuations. There are two sets of Relevant Representations submitted by each of Ian Judd & Partners and from Blake Morgan LLP respectively because even though Blake Morgan LLP had been instructed at the time of making their representation, there was some doubt as to whether Blake Morgan LLP's involvement would continue past this. This was because Peter and Geoffrey

			Carpenter hoped they may achieve a private agreement with the Promoter quickly. For this reason, Ian Judd and Partners took the view to reserve a right to make representations on behalf of Peter and Geoffrey Carpenter, as a precaution only should a private agreement not be reached. Blake Morgan LLP was subsequently instructed to represent Peter and Geoffrey Carpenter throughout the formal DCO process and Examination in relation to Little Denmead Farm. Due to this, please treat the Relevant Representations RR-055 and RR-168 as being separate, but please also note that Blake Morgan LLP will be the sole advisor who will make all submissions to the ExA on their behalf in relation to Little Denmead Farm. Ian Judd & Partners are still advising these parties as land agents as part of their wider group of advisors (and they may make oral representations as experts if necessary during any hearings), but it will be Blake Morgan LLP who will be submitting documents and making formal representations on Geoffrey and Peter Carpenter's behalf to the ExA. There is also one difference between RR-055 and RR-168 in that RR-168 (submitted by Ian Judd & Partners) covers different clients and sites, in addition to Little Denmead Farm. RR-055 only relates to Little Denmead Farm.
CA1.3.89	Blake Morgan LLP on behalf of The Owners of Hillcrest	Michael Edwin and Sandra Helen Jefferies appear to be represented by both yourselves and lan Judd and Partners ([RR-168] and [RR-070]). Is this the case? If so, should these Relevant Representations be taken as one. If not, what are the differences between the Relevant Representations?	Blake Morgan LLP are the solicitors acting for Mr. Michael Jeffries and for Mrs Sandra Jeffries. Ian Judd & Partners are land agents and are advising them in relation to their land valuations. There are two sets of Relevant Representations submitted by each of Ian Judd & Partners and from Blake Morgan LLP respectively because even though Blake Morgan LLP had been instructed at the time of making their representation, there was some doubt as to whether Blake Morgan LLP's involvement would continue past this. This was because Michael and Sandra Jeffries hoped they may achieve a private agreement with the Promoter quickly. For this reason, Ian Judd and Partners took the view to reserve a right to make representations on behalf of Michael and Sandra Jeffries, as a precaution only should a private agreement not be reached. Blake Morgan LLP was subsequently instructed to represent Michael and Sandra Jeffries throughout the formal DCO process and Examination in

			relation to Hillcrest. Due to this, please treat the Relevant Representations RR-070 and RR-168 as being separate, but please also note that Blake Morgan LLP will be the sole advisor who will make all submissions to the ExA on their behalf in relation to Hillcrest. Ian Judd & Partners are still advising these parties as land agents as part of their wider group of advisors (and they may make oral representations as experts if necessary during any hearings), but it will be Blake Morgan LLP who will be submitting documents and making formal representations on Michael and Sandra Jeffries' behalf to the ExA. There is also one difference between RR-070 and RR-168 in that RR-168 (submitted by Ian Judd & Partners) covers different clients and sites, in addition to Hillcrest. RR-070 only relates to Hillcrest.
CA1.3.91	Blake Morgan LLP on behalf of Robin Jefferies	Robin Jefferies appears to be represented by both yourselves and Ian Judd and Partners ([RR-168] and [RR-067]). Is this the case? If so, should these Relevant Representations be taken as one. If not, what are the differences between the Relevant Representations?	Blake Morgan LLP are the solicitors acting for Mr Robin Jefferies. Ian Judd & Partners are land agents and are advising him in relation to his land valuation. There are two sets of Relevant Representations submitted by each of Ian Judd & Partners and from Blake Morgan LLP respectively because even though Blake Morgan LLP had been instructed at the time of making their representation, there was some doubt as to whether Blake Morgan LLP's involvement would continue past this. This was because Robin Jeffries hoped he may achieve a private agreement with the Promoter quickly. For this reason, Ian Judd and Partners took the view to reserve a right to make representations on behalf of Robin Jeffries, as a precaution only should a private agreement not be reached. Blake Morgan LLP was subsequently instructed to represent Robin Jeffries throughout the formal DCO process and Examination in relation to Mill View Farm. Due to this, please treat the Relevant Representations RR-067 and RR-168 as being separate, but please also note that Blake Morgan LLP will be the sole advisor who will make all submissions to the ExA on Robin's behalf in relation to Mill View Farm. Ian Judd & Partners are still advising Robin Jeffries as land agents as part of his wider group of advisors (and they may make oral representations as experts if necessary during any

	hearings), but it will be Blake Morgan LLP who will be submitting documents and making formal representations on Robin Jeffries' behalf to the ExA.
	There is also one difference between RR-067 and RR-168 in that RR-168 (submitted by Ian Judd & Partners) covers different clients and sites, in addition to Mill View Farm. RR-070 only relates to Mill View Farm.

Blake Morgan LLP

6 October 2020